

Lecture 6

Products, functoriality and nil-invariance

6.1 Products

6.2 Functoriality in K -theory

6.3 Functoriality in G -theory

6.4 Nil-invariance in G -theory

6.1 Products

Recall: There is a cup product:

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(A) \otimes K_0(A) &\xrightarrow{\cup} K_0(A) \\ [M] \otimes [N] &\mapsto [M \underset{A}{\otimes} N] \end{aligned}$$

Construction (Cup product):

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\text{Perf}_A) \otimes K_0(\text{Perf}_A) &\xrightarrow{\cup} K_0(\text{Perf}_A) \\ [M_\bullet] \otimes [N_\bullet] &\mapsto [M_\bullet \underset{A}{\otimes} N_\bullet] \end{aligned}$$

Well-defined: $\underset{A}{\otimes}$ preserves exact triangles (in each arg.)

$\Rightarrow K_0(\text{Perf}_A)$ commutative ring with unit $[A[0]]$.

Remark:

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(A) \otimes K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & K_0(A) \\ \text{\scriptsize ?} \downarrow & \circ & \text{\scriptsize ?} \downarrow \\ K_0(\text{Perf}_A) \otimes K_0(\text{Perf}_A) & \rightarrow & K_0(\text{Perf}_A) \end{array}$$

commutes, since $\underset{A}{\otimes}$ agrees with \otimes on f.g. projectives.

In particular, $K_0(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0(\text{Perf}_A)$ is a ring homomorphism.

Lemma: $M_0 \in \text{Ch}_A$ of Tor-amplitude $[a, b]$

$N_0 \in \text{Ch}_A$ m -connective and n -coconnective ($n > m > 0$)

(i.e. $H_i(N_0) = 0 \quad \forall i \notin [m, n]$)

$\Rightarrow H_i(M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} N_0) = 0 \quad \forall i \notin [a+m, b+n]$

Proof:

By shifting N_0 , we may assume $m = 0$.

$n = 0$: $N_0 \simeq H_0(N_0)[0]$

\Rightarrow claim follows from def. of finite Tor-amplitude

$n > 0$: $H_n(N_0)[n] \xrightarrow{\text{fish } N_0} \tau_{\leq n}(N_0) \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n-1}(N_0)$ exact triangle

$\Rightarrow M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} H_n(N_0)[n] \rightarrow M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \tau_{\leq n}(N_0) \rightarrow M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \tau_{\leq n-1}(N_0)$

exact triangle

$\Rightarrow H_i(M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} N_0) \simeq H_i(M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \tau_{\leq n}(N_0))$ fits in a LES

$\dots \xrightarrow{\partial} H_i(M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} H_n(N_0)[n]) \rightarrow H_i(M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \tau_{\leq n} N_0) \rightarrow H_i(M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \tau_{\leq n-1} N_0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$

\parallel
0 for $i \notin [a+m, b+n]$

\parallel
0 $\forall i \notin [a, b+n-1]$

(induction)

\Rightarrow conclude by induction. \blacksquare

Construction (Cap product):

$$K_0(A) \otimes G_0(A) \xrightarrow{\cap} G_0(A)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & \circ & \downarrow \\ K_0(\text{Perf } A) \otimes K_0(\text{Coh } A) & \xrightarrow{\cap} & K_0(\text{Coh } A) \end{array}$$

$$K_0(\text{Perf } A) \otimes K_0(\text{Coh } A) \xrightarrow{\cap} K_0(\text{Coh } A)$$

$$[M_0] \otimes [N_0] \longmapsto [M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} N_0]$$

Follows from lemma that

$$M_0 \in \text{Perf } A, N_0 \in \text{Coh } A \implies M_0 \underset{A}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} N_0 \in \text{Coh } A.$$

This gives $K_0(\text{Coh } A)$ the structure of $K_0(\text{Perf } A)$ -module.

6.2 Functoriality in K-theory

$\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ homo. of comm. rings

$\varphi^*: \text{Mod}_A \rightarrow \text{Mod}_B$ (Lecture 1)

$$M \mapsto M \otimes_A B$$

$\varphi_*: \text{Mod}_B \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A$

$$N \mapsto N[A]$$

Recall: • φ^* preserves f.g. projective modules (always)
• φ_* preserves f.g. projective modules
 $\Leftrightarrow B$ is a f.p. flat A -module

Construction: φ^* induces a well-defined ring homo.

$$\varphi^*: K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B).$$

If B is a f.g. flat A -module, then φ_* induces

$$\varphi_*: K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(A).$$

$$N \mapsto N[A]$$

Remark: φ_* is not a ring homo. (unless $\varphi: A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$ iso.)

It sends the unit $1 = [B] \in K_0(B)$ to $[B[A]]$

instead of the unit $1 = [A] \in K_0(A)$.

Prop: $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ ring homo.

$$(i) M_0 \in \text{Perf}_A \Rightarrow M_0 \otimes_A^L B \in \text{Perf}_B$$

(ii) If A noetherian,

B f.g. A -module of finite Tor-amplitude,

$$N_0 \in \text{Perf}_B \Rightarrow (N_0)[A] \in \text{Perf}_A$$

$$\text{(where } (N_0)[A] = (\cdots \rightarrow (N_n)[A] \rightarrow (N_{n-1})[A] \rightarrow \cdots)$$

Proof:

$$(i) P_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi} M_0 \quad P_0 \in \text{Proj}_A^b$$

$$\Rightarrow P_0 \otimes_A B = P_0 \otimes_A^L B \xrightarrow{\varphi} M_0 \otimes_A^L B$$

$$\text{with } P_0 \otimes_A B \in \text{Proj}_B^b.$$

$$(ii) N_0 \in \text{Perf}_B \iff N_0 \text{ coherent and finite Tor-amp.}$$

$$\Rightarrow N_0 \text{ of Tor-amplitude } [a, b] \quad (a \leq b \in \mathbb{Z})$$

$$H_i((N_0)[A] \otimes_A^L M) = H_i(N_0 \otimes_B^L B \otimes_A^L M)$$

B of Tor-amplitude $\leq n \Rightarrow B \otimes_A^L M$ is n -coconnective

Lemma $\Rightarrow N_0 \otimes_B^L (B \otimes_A^L M)$ is a -connective, $(b+n)$ -coconn.

$$\Rightarrow H_i((N_0)[A] \otimes_A^L M) = 0 \quad \forall i \notin [a, b+n]$$

$\Rightarrow (N_0)[A]$ is of finite Tor-amplitude (over A)

$\Rightarrow N_0 \in \text{Perf}_A. \blacksquare$

Corollary: There are well-defined homs.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*: K_0(\text{Perf}_A) &\longrightarrow K_0(\text{Perf}_B) & \varphi: A \rightarrow B \text{ arbitrary} \\ [M_\bullet] &\longmapsto [M_\bullet \overset{L}{\otimes}_A B] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_*: K_0(\text{Perf}_B) &\longrightarrow K_0(\text{Perf}_A) & A \text{ noeth.} \\ [N_\bullet] &\longmapsto [(N_\bullet)[A]] & B \text{ finite, } f^* \text{ to } A. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $(-)\overset{L}{\otimes}_A B$ preserves exact triangles, so
 $\Rightarrow \varphi^*$ well-defined.

$(-)[A]$ also clearly preserves exact triangles
 (it is an exact functor $\text{Ch}_B \rightarrow \text{Ch}_A$)
 $\Rightarrow \varphi_*$ well-defined. ▀

Remark: $\varphi^*: K_0(\text{Perf}_A) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Perf}_B)$ is a ring homo.

$$\begin{aligned} [A[0]] &\longmapsto [B[0]] \\ [M_\bullet] \vee [N_\bullet] &\longmapsto [M_\bullet \overset{L}{\otimes}_A B] \vee [N_\bullet \overset{L}{\otimes}_A B] \\ = [M_\bullet \overset{L}{\otimes}_A N_\bullet] &= [(M_\bullet \overset{L}{\otimes}_A N_\bullet) \overset{L}{\otimes}_A B] \end{aligned}$$

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} & K_0(B) \\
 \downarrow ? & \circ & \downarrow ? \\
 K_0(\text{Perf } A) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} & K_0(\text{Perf } B)
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

If B finite flat / A (A noetherian), then

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0(B) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} & K_0(A) \\
 \downarrow ? & & \downarrow ? \\
 K_0(\text{Perf } B) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} & K_0(\text{Perf } A)
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Notation: From now on, we identify $K_0(A) = K_0(\text{Perf } A)$.

Prop (Base change formula):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B & & \varphi \text{ arbitrary} \\
 \psi \downarrow & \downarrow \psi' & \\
 A' \xrightarrow{\varphi'} B' = A' \otimes_A B & & A \text{ noetherian, } A' \text{ f.g., f.t.o.}/A
 \end{array}$$

Suppose the square is Tor-independent,

i.e. $A' \overset{L}{\otimes}_A B$ acyclic in positive degrees.

Then $\psi'_* (\varphi')^* = \varphi_* \psi_*$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0(A') & \xrightarrow{(\varphi')^*} & K_0(B') \\
 \psi'_* \downarrow & \circ & \downarrow (\psi')_* \\
 K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} & K_0(B)
 \end{array}$$

Proof: $M' \in \text{Perf}_{A'}$

$$\varphi_* \varphi^* [M'] = \varphi_* [(M')_{[A]}] = [(M')_{[A]} \overset{L}{\otimes}_A B]$$

$$(\varphi')_* (\varphi')^* [M'] = (\varphi')_* [M' \overset{L}{\otimes}_{A'} B'] = [(M' \overset{L}{\otimes}_{A'} B')_{[B]}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (M')_{[A]} \overset{L}{\otimes}_A B &\stackrel{\cong}{\simeq} M' \overset{L}{\otimes}_{A'} A' \overset{L}{\otimes}_A B \\ &\stackrel{\cong}{\simeq} M' \overset{L}{\otimes}_{A'} (A' \overset{L}{\otimes}_A B) && \text{(tor-independence)} \\ &\simeq M' \overset{L}{\otimes}_{A'} B'. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Prop (Projection formula):

$\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ A noeth, B f.g., f.T.o. / A .

$\varphi_*: K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ is a $K_0(A)$ -module homo.

That is:

$$\varphi_*(x) \cup y = \varphi_*(x \cup \varphi^*(y))$$

$$\forall x \in K_0(B), y \in K_0(A).$$

Proof: Follows from

$$(N_0)_{[A]} \overset{L}{\otimes}_A M_0 \stackrel{\cong}{\simeq} N_0 \overset{L}{\otimes}_B (M_0 \overset{L}{\otimes}_A B)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &\in \text{Perf}_A \\ N_0 &\in \text{Perf}_B. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Prop: $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ $\psi: B \rightarrow C$ ring homos.

(i) $\psi^* \circ \varphi^* = (\psi \circ \varphi)^* : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(C)$

(ii) if A with, B f.g., f.T.a./ A , C f.g., f.T.a./ B

$\Rightarrow \varphi_* \circ \psi_* = (\psi \circ \varphi)_* : K_0(C) \rightarrow K_0(A)$.

Proof: From the definitions.

6.3 Functoriality in G-theory

(all rings noetherian)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: A \rightarrow B \quad B \text{ f.g.}/A \\ \Rightarrow \text{Mod}_B^{\text{fg}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A^{\text{fg}}, \quad N \mapsto N[A] \quad \text{exact} \\ \Rightarrow \varphi_*: G_0(B) \rightarrow G_0(A) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: A \rightarrow B \quad B \text{ flat}/A \\ \Rightarrow \text{Mod}_A^{\text{fg}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_B^{\text{fg}}, \quad M \mapsto M \otimes_A B \quad \text{exact} \\ \Rightarrow \varphi^*: G_0(A) \rightarrow G_0(B) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: (using Lemma in §6.1)

If $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, B f.t.q./ A , $M \in \text{Coh}_A \Leftrightarrow M \otimes_A B \in \text{Coh}_B$.

Prop: These are well-defined homom.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_*: K_0(\text{Coh}_B) &\rightarrow K_0(\text{Coh}_A) & \varphi: A \rightarrow B, B \text{ f.g.}/A \\ \varphi^*: K_0(\text{Coh}_A) &\rightarrow K_0(\text{Coh}_B) & \varphi: A \rightarrow B, B \text{ f.t.q.}/A \end{aligned}$$

They are compatible with the above maps via the isos. $G_0(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0(\text{Coh}_A)$, $G_0(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0(\text{Coh}_B)$.

They are functorial $(\psi^* \circ \varphi^* = (\psi \circ \varphi)^*$,
 $\varphi_* \circ \psi_* = (\psi \circ \varphi)_*$
 when these make sense).

They satisfy the base change formula for Tor-independent squares.

They satisfy the projection formula:

$\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, B f.g. / $A \Rightarrow \varphi_*: K_0(\text{Coh}_B) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Coh}_A)$
 is a $K_0(A)$ -module homo

Equivalently, $\varphi_*(x) \wedge y = \varphi_*(x \wedge \varphi^*(y)) \in K_0(\text{Coh}_A)$
 $\forall x \in K_0(\text{Coh}_B), y \in K_0(A)$

Proof: Almost the same as K-theory version ■

Notation: From now on, identify $G_0(A) = K_0(\text{Coh}_A)$.

6.4 Nil-invariance in G-theory

(all rings
noetherian)

Def: A : noetherian, $I \subseteq A$ ideal

I is a nil ideal $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in I, x^n = 0 \ \forall n \gg 0$
 \Leftrightarrow (noeth.) $I^n = 0 \ \forall n \gg 0$

Theorem: $I \subseteq A$ nil ideal. $\varphi: A \rightarrow A/I$.

$\Rightarrow \varphi_*: G_0(A/I) \xrightarrow{\cong} G_0(A) \text{ iso.}$

Observation: $M \in \text{Mod}_A^{\text{fg}}$,

$0 = M_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_{n-1} \subseteq M_n = M$ filtration.

$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M_{n-1} \hookrightarrow M_n \rightarrow M_n/M_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$

$0 \rightarrow M_{n-2} \hookrightarrow M_{n-1} \rightarrow M_{n-1}/M_{n-2} \rightarrow 0$

\vdots

$0 \rightarrow M_0 \hookrightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_1/M_0 \rightarrow 0$

"0"

$\overset{M_n}{M_1}$

short exact

$\Rightarrow [M] = [M_n] = [M_n/M_{n-1}] + [M_{n-1}]$
 $= \dots$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n [M_i/M_{i-1}] \text{ in } G_0(A).$

Proof of Theorem:

I nilpotent $\implies \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $I^n = 0$.

$M \in \text{Mod}_A$

$M_i := I^{n-i} \cdot M \implies$ finite filtration $(M_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$
 $0 = M_0 \subset \dots \subset M_n = M$.

M_i/M_{i-1} are all annihilated by I

$$I \cdot (M_1/M_0) = I \cdot I^{n-1} \cdot M = 0$$

$$I \cdot (M_2/M_1) = I \cdot I^{n-2} M / I^{n-1} M = I^{n-1} M / I^{n-1} M = 0$$

...

$\implies M_i/M_{i-1}$ is in ess. image of $\text{Mod}_{A/I} \hookrightarrow \text{Mod}_A$
(lecture 1)

$$\implies [M] = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_* [N_i] = \varphi_* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n [N_i] \right)$$

where $N_i = M_i/M_{i-1}$ viewed as A/I -modules

$\rightarrow \varphi$ surjective

Inverse map $G_0(A) \xrightarrow{f} G_0(A/I)$:

$$f[M] := \sum_{i=1}^n [N_i]$$

(choose a filtration and set N_i as above)

► $f[M]$ doesn't depend on the filtration:

Choose another filtration. By butterfly lemma

(a.k.a. Zassenhaus lemma, c.f. [Bourbaki, Algebra I,

Chap. I, §7, Theorem 5]) the two filtrations have "equivalent refinements". Thus we can assume the second filtration is a refinement of the first.

Suffices: $0 = M_0 \subset \dots \subset M_{i-1} \subset M_i \subset \dots \subset M_n = M$
 (refinement) $0 = N_0 \subset \dots \subset M_{i-1} \subset N \subset M_i \subset \dots \subset M_n = M$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow N/M_{i-1} \hookrightarrow M_i/M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i/N \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact}$$

$$\Rightarrow [M_i/M_{i-1}] = [N/M_{i-1}] + [M_i/N]$$

\Rightarrow claim follows.

► Well-definedness of $f: G_0(A) \rightarrow G_0(A/I)$

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact in } \text{Mod}_{\frac{A}{I}}$$

want: $f[M] = f[M'] + f[M'']$

Choose filtrations for M', M'' with quotients $\in \text{Mod}_{A/I}$.

Filtration on $M'' = M/M' \Leftrightarrow$ filtration of M containing M'

combine with filtration of $M' \Rightarrow$ filtration of M with quotients $\in \text{Mod}_{A/I}$.

Using this to compute $f[M]$, we get

$$f[M] = f[M'] + f[M'']$$

► $f \circ \varphi_* = \text{id}$.

$N \in \text{Mod } A[\mathbb{I}] \quad \rightarrow \quad N_{[A]} \text{ has trivial filtration}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(\varphi_*[N]) = f[N_{[A]}] = [N].$

$\Rightarrow \varphi_*$ injective. ■